

House of Delegates

REPORT ON THE VIRTUAL HOUSE OF DELEGATES

November 8-15, 2024

RESULTS OF THE VOTING

Between November 8 and 15, the ASHP House of Delegates (roster attached as Appendix A) voted on six policy recommendations. Delegates approved four policy recommendations including two discontinuations by 85% or more, the threshold for final approval. Two policy recommendations did not reach the threshold for approval, Clinical Significance of Accurate and Timely Height and Weight Measurements and Safety of Intranasal Route as an Alternative Route of Administration, and will be considered by the House of Delegates in 2025.

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS APPROVED

The four policy recommendations **approved** are as follows (percentage of delegates voting to approve follows the policy title):

Pharmacist's Leadership Role in Anticoagulation Therapy Management (93.0%)

Source: Council on Pharmacy Practice

To discontinue ASHP policy 2006, Pharmacist's Leadership Role in Anticoagulation Therapy Management, which reads:

To advocate that pharmacists provide leadership in caring for patients receiving drug products for anticoagulant therapy management; further,

To advocate that pharmacists be responsible for coordinating the individualized care of patients receiving drug products for anticoagulation therapy management; further,

To encourage pharmacists who participate in anticoagulation therapy management to educate patients, caregivers, prescribers, and other members of the interprofessional healthcare team about anticoagulant drug product uses, drug interactions, reversal

therapies and strategies, adverse effects, the importance of adhering to therapy, access to care, and recommended laboratory testing and other monitoring.

Use of Two Patient Identifiers in the Provision of Patient Care (93.0%)

Source: Council on Pharmacy Practice

To encourage the use of two unique identifiers during the provision of patient care.

Pharmacy Drug Theft (93.9%)

Source: Council on Pharmacy Management

To discontinue ASHP policy 0303, Pharmacy Drug Theft, which reads:

To support the development of policies and guidelines for health-system pharmacists designed to deter drug product theft and thereby enhance both the integrity of the drug distribution chain and the safety of the workplace; further,

To encourage the development of systems that limit the diversion and abuse potential of medications, including high-cost drugs and controlled substances, and thereby reduce the likelihood that these products will be targets of theft.

ASHP Statement on Artificial Intelligence in Pharmacy (94.4%)

Source: Section of Pharmacy Informatics and Technology

To approve the ASHP Statement on Artificial Intelligence in Pharmacy (Appendix B).

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS NOT APPROVED

The House **voted to not approve** the following policy recommendations (percentage of delegates voting to approve follows the policy title):

Clinical Significance of Accurate and Timely Height and Weight Measurements (75.5%)

Source: Council on Therapeutics

To encourage pharmacists to participate in interprofessional efforts to ensure accurate and timely patient height and weight measurements are recorded in the patient medical record to provide safe and effective drug therapy; further,

To encourage drug product manufacturers to conduct and publicly report pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic research in pediatric, adult, and geriatric patients at the extremes of weight and weight changes to facilitate safe and effective dosing of drugs in these patient populations, especially for drugs most likely to be affected by weight; further,

To encourage independent research on the clinical significance of extremes of weight and weight changes on drug use, as well as the reporting and dissemination of this information via published literature, patient registries, and other mechanisms; further,

To advocate that clinical decision support systems and other information technologies be structured to facilitate prescribing and dispensing of drugs most likely to be affected by extremes of weight and weight changes; further,

To advocate for federal and state laws and regulations to include weight, height, and date obtained as a required component of prescriptions for medications that are dosed based on height and weight.

Safety of Intranasal Route as an Alternative Route of Administration (76.0%)

Source: Council on Therapeutics

To encourage research on the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics of drugs not approved for intranasal administration; further,

To encourage the development of institutional guidance and resources on the safe and effective use of drugs not approved for intranasal administration; further,

To encourage manufacturers to develop intranasal formulations in accordance with current regulatory standards to minimize the risk of medication errors, including ready-to-use devices.

NOTES ON VOTING

Over 91% (202) of delegates to the virtual House of Delegates participated in the voting.

ASHP HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Jesse H. Hogue, Chair

Nishaminy Kasbekar, Vice Chair

As of November 8, 2024

OFFICERS AND BOARD OF DIRECTORS			
Leigh A. Briscoe-Dwyer, President			
Melanie A. Dodd, President-Elect			
Nishaminy Kasbekar, Immediate Past President			
Christene M. Jolowsky, Treasurer			
Paul W. Abramowitz, Chief Executive Officer			
Kristine K. Gullickson, Board Liaison, Council on Public Policy			
Jesse H. Hogue, Chair of the House			
Vivian Bradley Johnson, Board Liaison, Council on Pharmacy Management			
Dawn M. Moore, Board Liaison, Commission on Affiliate Relations			
Vickie L. Powell, Board Liaison, Council on Pharmacy Practice			
Douglas C. Slain, Board Liaison, Council on Therapeutics			
Jennifer E. Tryon, Board Liaison, Council on Education and Workforce Development			
PAST PRESIDENTS			
Roger Anderson	Lisa Gersema	Gerald Meyer	Linda Tyler
John Armitstead	Diane Ginsburg	John Murphy	Paul Walker
Daniel Ashby	Harold Godwin	Cynthia Raehl	T. Mark Woods
Jill Martin Boone	Mick Hunt	Philip Schneider	David Zilz
Cynthia Brennan	Clifford Hynniman	Kathryn Schultz	
Bruce Canaday	Marianne Ivey	Bruce Scott	
Kevin Colgan	Thomas Johnson	Steven Sheaffer	
Debra Devereaux	Stan Kent	Janet Silvester	
Fred Eckel	Robert Lantos	Kelly Smith	
Rebecca Finley	Lynnae Mahaney	Thomas Thielke	
STATE	DELEGATES	ALTERNATES	
Alabama (3)	Nancy Bailey Danna Nelson Megan Roberts	Nathan Pinner	
Alaska (2)	Shawna King Laura Lampasone		
Arizona (3)	Melinda Burnworth Christopher Edwards Kelly Erdos	Janelle Duran Jake Schwarz Sarah Stevens	
Arkansas (3)	Jama Huntley Phillip Jackson Brandy Hubbard	Josh Maloney	

Roster, ASHP House of Delegates, November 2024

California (7)	Gary Besinque Katrina Derry Daniel Kudo Elaine Law Sarah McBane Caroline Sierra Steven Thompson	Kethen So
Colorado (3)	Clint Hinman Lance Ray Tara Vlasimsky	Bridger Singer
Connecticut (3)	Sam Abdelghany Christina Hatfield Colleen Teevan	Jason Zyber
Delaware (2)	Cheri Briggs Pooja Dogra	
Florida (6)	Jeffrey Bush Andrew Kaplan Dionis Malo Farima Raof Heather Petrie William Terneus	Margareth Larose Pierre
Georgia (3)	Davey Legendre Christy Norman Samantha Roberts	Matthew Hurd Kunal Patel
Hawaii (2)	Shelley Kikuchi Mark Mierzwa	Wesley Sumida
Idaho (2)	Paul Driver Victoria Wallace	Jessica Bowen
Illinois (5)	Andy Donnelly Bernice Man Jennifer Phillips Radhika Polisetty Matthew Rim	Chris Crank Sharon Karina Nikola Markoski Samantha Rimas
Indiana (3)	Andrew Lodolo Christopher Scott Tate Trujillo	
Iowa (3)	John Hamiel Lisa Mascardo Jessica Nesheim	Emmeline Paintsil Jenna Rose Jennifer Williams

Roster, ASHP House of Delegates, November 2024

Kansas (3)	Christina Crowley Brian Gilbert Katie Wilson	Jeff Little Katherine Miller Zahra Nasrazadani Megan Ohrlund
Kentucky (3)	Dale English Scott Hayes Thomas Platt	Kortney Brown Stephanie Justice Chelsea Maier
Louisiana (3)	Tara Montgomery Heather Maturin Heather Savage	Jason Lafitte
Maine (2)	Brian McCullough Megan Rusby	Kathryn Sawicki
Maryland (4)	John Hill Terri Jorgenson Marybeth Kazanas Janet Lee	Justin Hare Molly Wascher
Massachusetts (4)	Jason Lancaster Frankie Mernick Marla O'Shea-Bulman Russel Roberts	Monica Mahoney
Michigan (4)	Rox Gatia Lama Hsaiky Jessica Jones Rebecca Maynard	Ed Szandzik
Minnesota (3)	Lance Oyen John Pastor Rachel Root	Paul Morales Scott Nei Cassie Schmitt
Mississippi (2)	Caroline Bobinger Andrew Mays	Joshua Fleming
Missouri (3)	Joel Hennenfent Amy Sipe Mel Smith	Nathan Hanson Cassie Heffern Sayo Weihs
Montana (2)	Julie Neuman Logan Tinsen	JoEllen Maurer
Nebraska (3)	Tiffany Goeller Katie Reisbig David Schmidt	Jolyn Merry
Nevada (2)	Adam Porath Kate Ward	
New Hampshire (2)	Melanie McGuire Elizabeth Wade	Marilyn Hill

Roster, ASHP House of Delegates, November 2024

New Jersey (4)	Rich Artymowicz Julie Kalabalik-Hoganson Deb Sadowski Craig Sastic	Barbara Giacomelli Agnieszka Pasternak Jennifer Sternbach
New Mexico (2)	Lisa Anselmo Nick Crozier	
New York (5)	Travis Dick Paul Green Mark Sinnet Leila Tibi-Scherl Kimberly Zammit	Amisha Arya Brendan Begnoch Charrai Byrd Angela Cheng Carline Fevry Courtney Jarka Christine Nguyen
North Carolina (4)	Leslie Barefoot Angela Livingood Mary Parker Jeffrey Reichard	Mollie Scott Tyler Vest
North Dakota (2)	Maari Loy Katrina Rehak	Elizabeth Monson Saidee Oberlander
Ohio (5)	Ashley Duty Cynthia King Dan Lewis Kellie Musch Kembral Nelson	Ben Lopez Joshua Musch Jerry Siegel
Oklahoma (3)	Corey Guidry Jeremy Johnson Andrea Rai	
Oregon (3)	Ryan Gibbard Edward Saito Ryan Wargo	Michael Lanning
Pennsylvania (4)	Arpit Mehta Kimberly Mehta Cassandra Redmond Christine Roussel	Jennifer Belavic Scott Bolesta Larry Jones Joseph Stavish Evan Williams
Puerto Rico (2)	Carlos Méndez Bauza Idaliz Rodriguez Escudero	Mirza Martínez Giselle Rivera
Rhode Island (2)	Nelson Caetano Martha Roberts	Ray Iannuccillo Karen Nolan
South Carolina (3)	Thomas Achey Lisa Gibbs Sarah Steinert	Harrison Jozefczyk

Roster, ASHP House of Delegates, November 2024

South Dakota (2)	Betsy Karli Anne Morstad	Joseph Berendse Laura Stoebner
Tennessee (4)	Kelly Bobo Don Branam Erin Neal Grayson Peek	Jennifer Robertson
Texas (6)	Latresa Billings Joshua Blackwell Todd Canada Rodney Cox Binita Patel Jeffrey Wagner	Abimbola Farinde Jerry James
Utah (3)	Conor Hanrahan Elyse MacDonald Krystal Moorman-Bishir	Shannon Inglet Whitney Mortensen
Vermont (2)	Jeffrey Gonzalez Emily Piehl	Julie MacDougall Kevin Marvin
Virginia (4)	Kathy Koehl Amy Schultz Brian Spoelhof Rodney Stiltner	June Javier
Washington, D.C. (2)	Sue Carr Kelly Mullican	Joann Lee
Washington State (3)	Lauren Bristow Chris Greer Karen White	
West Virginia (2)	Chris Fitzpatrick Derek Grimm	
Wisconsin (4)	John Muchka Sarah Peppard William Peppard Kate Schaafsma	Monica Bogenschutz Edward Conlin Carmen Gust David Reeb
Wyoming (2)	Linda Gore Martin Jessica Papke	
SECTIONS AND FORUMS	DELEGATES	ALTERNATES
Ambulatory Care Practitioners	Sara Panella	Ashley Parrott
Clinical Specialists and Scientists	Megan Musselman	Angela Colella
Community Pharmacy Practitioners	Courtney Isom	Amanda Place

Roster, ASHP House of Delegates, November 2024

Digital and Telehealth Practitioners	Lisa Stump	
Inpatient Care Practitioners	Lucas Schulz	Molly Billstein Leber
Pharmacy Educators	Jennifer Arnoldi	Tim Brown
Pharmacy Informatics and Technology	Jeffrey Chalmers	David Agüero
Pharmacy Practice Leaders	Katherine Miller	Anthony Scott
Specialty Pharmacy Practitioners	Erica Diamantides	Karen Thomas
New Practitioners Forum	Alfred Awuah	Luning Shi
Pharmacy Student Forum	Charbel Aoun	Katy Xia
The Pharmacy Technician Society	Daniel Nyakundi	
FRATERNAL	DELEGATES	ALTERNATES
U.S. Air Force	Elizabeth Tesch	Rohin Kasudia
U.S. Army	Victoria O'Shea	Danielle Zsido
U.S. Navy	Terence Cusack	Chirag Patel
U.S. Public Health Service	Russ Gunter	Andrew Glatz Chenoa Shelton
Veterans Affairs	Julie Groppi	Heather Ourth

ASHP Statement on Artificial Intelligence in Pharmacy

Position

1 Artificial intelligence (AI) has the potential to improve patient care and the medication-use
2 process by offering innovative methods to gather clinical, operational, and economic
3 knowledge; assist end users; enhance educational experiences; and streamline administrative
4 processes within pharmacy practice.¹ The pharmacy workforce is uniquely positioned to serve
5 as key contributors and domain experts in the advancement of AI in healthcare. They should
6 lead in decision-making, design, validation, implementation, and ongoing evaluation of AI-
7 related applications and technologies that affect medication-use processes and related tasks.¹
8 Pharmacy leaders should use scientific approaches to define appropriate medication-related
9 use cases for AI-enabled technology and determine which aspects of the medication-use
10 process are best handled by the pharmacy workforce, by AI, or by the pharmacy workforce who
11 receive information or support from AI-based systems.

12 The pharmacy workforce must assist in validating AI for clinical and operational uses and
13 identify strategies to mitigate unintended consequences of AI, especially recognizing the ethical
14 considerations that must guide the development and use in pharmacy practice.¹ Pharmacists
15 should engage in research efforts to generate data to support additional AI use cases and
16 identify potential risks. At a minimum, AI should be evaluated for accuracy, transparency, and
17 interpretability, with policies adopted for AI utilization and ongoing surveillance of AI-related
18 applications.¹ Additionally, the pharmacy workforce should actively pursue ongoing education
19 and training in AI, given its rapidly growing adoption.

20 Fully automated AI should be reserved for algorithmic tasks where AI performance is

21 comparable to that of its human counterpart. AI of proven value, particularly AI with proven
22 safety and efficacy, should be adopted and used so that the pharmacy workforce can make
23 informed and efficient decisions and focus their expertise on solving new and confounding
24 problems for patients, families, and healthcare professionals and organizations.¹

25

26 **Background**

27 In 2020, the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP) released a statement on
28 the use of AI in pharmacy.² Given the rapid advancements in AI technology,³ this statement has
29 been developed to expand the scope to include generative AI, large language models (LLMs),
30 natural language processing (NLP), AI agents, and deep learning within the context of pharmacy
31 practice.

32 AI is the theory and development of computer systems to perform tasks previously
33 thought to require human intelligence, such as visual perception, language processing, learning,
34 and problem solving, by using machine learning to extrapolate from large collections of data.⁴
35 Deep learning, a form of machine learning, allows a network to understand concepts quickly,
36 learning from examples, similar to the way the human brain does.⁵ LLMs use deep-learning
37 methods to process large data sets to construct natural-sounding text.⁶ To put these concepts
38 together, generative AI is a type of AI trained using deep learning that can create content such
39 as text, images, and sound. As a result, text-based generative AI is a type of AI LLM that can
40 generate human-like text responses to written or spoken prompts, based on identified
41 patterns.⁷

42 AI-based technologies are being adopted by industries worldwide to improve efficiency

43 and outcomes. Healthcare has an opportunity to leverage AI to improve all aspects of the value
44 equation – outcomes, cost, and access. By increasing automation and improving workflow
45 efficiencies, AI has the potential to reduce time spent on manual and routine tasks, allowing
46 healthcare practitioners to optimize their scope of practice and improving clinician satisfaction,
47 both of which are vital in the context of ongoing clinician workforce shortages. AI adoption in
48 the healthcare system can also create new roles for the pharmacy workforce and alter the
49 scope of pharmacist patient care.⁸ Therefore, pharmacy teams must be prepared to embrace
50 and lead efforts in selecting, implementing, safely using, and assessing AI technology use in the
51 medication-use process.

52 At its June 2024 meeting, the ASHP House of Delegates approved ASHP policy 2413, Role
53 of Artificial Intelligence in Pharmacy Practice.¹ The policy recognizes the potential for AI to
54 improve patient care, acknowledges the risks and ethical challenges associated with the use of
55 AI in healthcare settings, and supports the adoption of policies and procedures related to the
56 use of AI. This statement expands upon the ideals described in that policy and further defines
57 the roles and positions of the pharmacy workforce in the advancement of AI in the care of
58 patients. This statement was developed not simply to consider potential applications of AI
59 within the current practice of pharmacy but also to plan for how this technology will need to be
60 developed and implemented in coming years. Although this statement is similar to positions
61 held by other organizations of health professionals, it is uniquely focused on identifying
62 opportunities for AI to drive change specific to the practice of pharmacy. This statement is
63 based on consensus opinion and professional judgment among experts on AI in pharmacy and is
64 applicable to all pharmacy practice settings.

65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86

Role of the pharmacy workforce in AI

The pharmacy workforce serves in crucial roles in AI, including developing and validating models, ensuring data quality, educating about implementation and use, and identifying enhancement needs. As subject matter experts in medication-use processes, they bear significant responsibility to ensure that AI contributes to safe, effective, and efficient outcomes. In the same way they apply scientific rigor to medication formulary decision-making, they should evaluate the deployment of AI capabilities and contribute to experimental design when research gaps are identified.

The pharmacy workforce can support the development of new AI models or the implementation of prebuilt AI models, depending on the scope of the need. Pharmacy informaticists, operations pharmacists, and clinical pharmacists possess diverse clinical and technical skills, equipping them to collaborate with computer scientists to build or adjust existing models. They can ensure data used in AI models are accurate and minimize bias, which can impact outputs.⁹ Among the 2023 ASHP Pharmacy Forecast panelists, 73% predicted that health systems will be required to validate the safety and effectiveness of AI tools, while only 37% reported that they were prepared to perform the validation.¹⁰ The pharmacy workforce must be aware of pharmacy data sources, data classification, data quality and lineage, intellectual property, and privacy management during model development and validation stages. Once a model is established, the pharmacy workforce is responsible for testing it to ensure it serves its intended function without errors.¹¹ Because it is important to define the quality assurance and quality engineering processes that must occur to test AI accuracy as part

87 of the validation process, the pharmacy workforce will need to be trained on the evaluation and
88 validation of AI solutions, including failure modes and effects analysis.

89 The pharmacy workforce must also educate AI users, informing them of the AI model's
90 focus, scope, and boundaries. Generative AI models may require engineering to ensure that
91 prompts are crafted with the optimal textual inputs (i.e., appropriate words, phrases, sentence
92 structure, and punctuation). To be reliable and efficient, a generative AI tool will require a
93 clearly defined problem with a formulated prompt. Prompts can be built and standardized for
94 use. However, proper user education is required to ensure reliable outputs. Furthermore,
95 superusers can be designated among the pharmacy workforce to build credibility and advocate
96 for technology.

97 **Role of pharmacy informaticists.** Pharmacy informaticists play a vital role in creating,
98 supporting, and interfacing clinical information and technology to improve medication safety,
99 efficiency, and patient care.¹² Because that role typically includes oversight of data and
100 analytics, pharmacy informaticists should also have a robust understanding of AI, especially as it
101 pertains to medication-related applications. Pharmacy informaticists should have a deep
102 understanding of AI model types and variables.¹³ They should assess models to align with
103 organizational policies to safeguard sensitive information, including protected health
104 information, personally identifiable information, and financial data. These individuals should be
105 responsible for ensuring models are trained, evaluated, corrected, and applied to data that
106 match clinical practice prior to implementation. Additionally, pharmacy informaticists should
107 also perform routine maintenance and monitoring of deployed AI models, as clinical practice,
108 data inputs, or data distributions change over time.¹⁴ Pharmacists who have knowledge and

109 experience in informatics are well-suited for designing, implementing, and researching AI
110 applications in the future. As healthcare professionals, pharmacists can focus on AI and data
111 science as a specialty, going beyond the supportive role with data scientists and industry.

112

113 **AI education and training**

114 Education on AI is necessary across all pharmacy practice domains.^{3,15} Pharmacy curricula
115 should introduce students to the essential concepts of data science, including the fundamentals
116 of AI, ethical use of generative AI, AI e-iatrogenesis, and AI model safety and efficacy
117 validation.^{16,17} The pharmacy workforce must also be given the chance to expand their
118 understanding of AI through continuing education. Data science courses or pharmacy
119 residencies with a focus on AI topics should be available to pharmacists seeking advanced
120 training in these fields. Existing residencies could explore how to incorporate foundational AI
121 concepts into their learning experiences (e.g., pharmacy administration or informatics
122 electives).

123

124 **Role of AI in pharmacy practice**

125 **Informatics.** Pharmacy information systems, automation, and technology have been key
126 sources of data and analytics within health systems. These data should not only be an output
127 but should also be considered an agent to troubleshoot, enhance, and optimize pharmacy
128 technology to better suit the needs of end users.¹⁸ Given the differing levels of data complexity
129 and organization, AI may aid pharmacy personnel in mining the vast amount of healthcare data
130 for actionable trends or patterns. Informaticists must also partner with their medical

131 technology vendors, advocating for continual, ethical advancement of AI applications to provide
132 the best possible patient outcomes.

133 **Clinical applications.** Historically, AI has been used in pharmacy to perform repetitive
134 tasks and translate large quantities of data into easily digestible patterns or trends.¹⁸ More
135 recent literature has emerged describing clinical applications of AI. For example, AI has proven
136 useful in interpreting diagnostic imaging,¹⁹ conducting pharmacovigilance,²⁰ and designing
137 treatment plans.¹⁸ Generative AI has the potential to offer additional benefits, including clinical
138 documentation, patient chart analysis, patient education, drug information, clinical protocol
139 development, and publication support. Future clinical applications of AI may intersect with
140 other growing fields in pharmacy, including pharmacogenomics, population health, drug
141 development, and telehealth pharmacy practice. A common feature of current and future use
142 cases is that they are designed to augment clinical pharmacy services, not replace the pharmacy
143 workforce. Pharmacists should be open to changing traditional clinical workflows to include AI
144 and AI-enabled clinical decision support systems that improve patient care. Pharmacy
145 departments should support efforts to integrate emerging AI-enabled tools to evaluate models,
146 improve care, improve access, lower costs, and provide comprehensive medication
147 management for patients.

148 **Pharmacy practice.** From an operational standpoint, AI platforms can improve inventory
149 management, facilitate product verification, assess medication adherence, and help
150 pharmacists perform at the top of their skill set.^{20,21} Generative AI can assist with pharmacy
151 administration documentation requirements, such as staffing memos, human resource
152 management tasks, and medication safety event analysis.^{22,23} As AI becomes more reliable,

153 standard pharmacy operations will become increasingly automated, allowing pharmacists to
154 focus more on high-value patient-care activities. Furthermore, it may also enable pharmacy
155 technicians to assume operational tasks historically performed by pharmacists (e.g., medication
156 optimization, medication safety and quality surveillance, and drug diversion monitoring),
157 supporting pharmacists' ability to provide direct patient care.

158 Rather than just adopting AI, pharmacy executives should lead the effort to define the
159 future of pharmacy and educate their healthcare colleagues and administrators on the role of
160 the pharmacy workforce in an environment in which AI is pervasive.

161 **Educational applications.** Generative AI has been used in various settings to provide
162 patient education.^{7,24,25} Because pharmacists are often the most accessible healthcare
163 professionals, they must be willing and able to address concerns and comprehension challenges
164 when AI technologies are used for primary patient education. Ultimately, as technologies
165 rapidly evolve, the pharmacy education system must remain agile to ensure our profession is
166 equipped to steward these transformations of care, including educating patients on safe use of
167 generative AI drug information.

168 In addition to patient education, AI capabilities may be leveraged to support education
169 of the pharmacy workforce, including students and residents. AI applications have already been
170 used in the pharmacy curriculum, including in skills-based courses, exam writing, and school
171 admissions decision support, among other use cases.²⁶⁻²⁸ These capabilities may allow for
172 pharmacy instructors to streamline administrative tasks and optimize their time with pharmacy
173 trainees. Pharmacy educators should evaluate AI capabilities to determine which are most
174 appropriate to deploy within the classroom, skills laboratory, and experiential training

175 environments.

176

177 **Ethical considerations and unintended consequences of AI**

178 While AI is poised to bring significant benefits to patient care, it also has its limitations. An

179 effective AI system relies on a repository of high-quality data. In the absence of high-quality

180 data, AI systems can easily perpetuate bias due to limited training data, population size, or

181 human bias. Medicine is vulnerable to those risks, as evidence-based clinical practice and

182 measures are often based on data from study populations skewed towards certain groups.²⁹

183 Healthcare organizations must ensure that AI models are based on high-quality and expansive

184 data sets that include other objective measures to minimize perpetuating biases.

185 Generative AI also poses the risk of creating content that is false or misleading. These

186 models should be developed to minimize the probability of creating misleading content, such as

187 setting constraints on possible responses.³⁰ Operating these tools with human oversight is

188 crucial; AI should serve as a valuable aid to support the pharmacy workforce, rather than as a

189 proxy for them.³¹

190 These risks have been well recognized nationally. Recently, an executive order outlined

191 the risks, requirements, responsibilities, and accountability measures for the “safe, secure, and

192 trustworthy development of artificial intelligence.”³² One outcome of this executive order was

193 designating the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) as the lead organization

194 for development of guidelines, standards, and best practices for AI safety and security. NIST has

195 already constructed an AI risk management framework, which includes guidelines on general AI

196 risk management and a companion framework on generative AI risk management.³³ Within

197 these frameworks, NIST tackles many common unintended consequences of AI, including
198 harmful bias, homogenization, data privacy, information integrity, and transparency.³³
199 Organizations should establish AI governance committees to evaluate and ensure compliance
200 with these guidelines, standards, and best practices.

201 Having educated, competent staff using these models helps organizations mitigate
202 potential liability. Generally, individuals or groups are not found liable when the standard of
203 care is followed.³⁴ However, there are two scenarios in which liability may occur: when the AI
204 tool makes a recommendation that aligns with the standard of care, or optimal care, but is
205 dismissed, or when it erroneously makes a recommendation that is not the standard of care
206 and is accepted. In both situations, staff using the AI model must be educated and competent,
207 not only in the current subject in which AI is being applied, but also in the strengths and
208 weaknesses of the model itself.

209 As with any technology used to assist the practice of pharmacy, contingency plans must
210 be developed in the event of unexpected downtimes, breaches, or recalls.³⁵ Organizations
211 should answer such questions as: How are patient safety risks identified and handled? If the
212 model is unavailable, what processes should staff fall back to in its absence? Mitigation
213 strategies for unintended consequences of AI must be proactively identified and included
214 within an organization's AI policies and procedures.

215 In 2021, the World Health Organization published a set of ethical considerations that
216 should be observed in the application of healthcare AI.³⁶ These considerations include the
217 preservation of human autonomy within AI-supported medical decision-making and uses of
218 protected health information, the avoidance of harm, and the responsibility to provide the

219 maximum possible unbiased benefit across diverse patient populations. Pharmacy leaders
220 should address these considerations when AI is implemented.

221

222 **AI regulation**

223 Rapid expansion of AI use in health information technology has highlighted the need for federal
224 agency standards and policy to support safe use, encourage responsible development, improve
225 trust, and promote adoption. In January 2021, the FDA released its first AI/ML-Based Software
226 as a Medical Device (SaMD) Action Plan, outlining the agency's plans to develop a SaMD
227 regulatory framework for AI while also establishing best practices for development,
228 implementation, and monitoring of AI capabilities.³⁷ In December 2023, the Office of the
229 National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC) issued the Health Data,
230 Technology, and Interoperability: Certification Program Updates, Algorithm Transparency, and
231 Information Sharing (HTI-1) Final Rule.³⁸ This rule established new standards for algorithm
232 transparency and risk management expectations for AI-enabled decision support interventions.
233 Additionally, it advanced interoperability standards designed to promote health equity and
234 established interoperability-focused reporting metrics.

235 Interoperability and AI are uniquely related. A common barrier to health information
236 exchange is the lack of standardized documentation or use of data standards. AI and machine
237 learning can improve interoperability by allowing the use of streamlined data standards to
238 provide for semantic exchange of health information. Given the fundamental role of data sets
239 and LLMs in AI, improving health information exchange will be a key goal of AI technology
240 development and optimization. In December 2023, the ONC also announced that the Trusted

241 Exchange Framework and Common Agreement (TEFCA) had become operational.³⁹ TEFCA is a
242 new interoperability framework supporting nationwide exchange of health information that
243 may support the facilitation of AI in healthcare due to simplification of connectivity and
244 increased flexibility for the exchange of data⁴⁰.

245 As AI and interoperable exchange of information continue to rapidly evolve, pharmacy
246 leaders are uniquely positioned to contribute to the regulatory efforts and ethical
247 considerations for applications related to medication use. Pharmacy leaders must embed
248 themselves in all arenas (organizational, regional, and national) of AI policymaking, governance,
249 and data stewardship to promote personalized, continuous, and preventive care.¹⁵

250

251 **Conclusion**

252 Advances in AI technologies will continue at a rapid pace, as will the opportunities to leverage
253 AI in all aspects of pharmacy practice. This evolving landscape presents pharmacy professionals
254 with the opportunity to embed themselves in processes to investigate, implement, maintain,
255 and optimize the use of AI technologies within their respective organizations. Pharmacy
256 workforce engagement in these processes is necessary to ensure that the use of AI technologies
257 results in safe and effective tools for improved patient care. To see this vision come to fruition,
258 pharmacy leaders must ensure sufficient education regarding AI technologies is available to
259 current and future pharmacy professionals. The incorporation of AI technologies within
260 pharmacy practice is inevitable, and pharmacists have the potential to significantly impact
261 patient care and the profession's future.

Authors

Samantha Bastow, PharmD, MBA

ASHP Statement on Artificial Intelligence in Pharmacy

Medical Affairs, Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ

Craig Greszler, PharmD, MBA, BCSCP
Medical Affairs, Becton, Dickinson and Company, San Diego, CA

Elizabeth Hartell, PharmD, MHI
Pharmacy Department, Advocate Health, Downers Grove, IL

Asha Kalichira, PharmD, MS
Senior Application Specialist, Cedars-Sinai Health System, Los Angeles, CA

Shawn Mathew, PharmD, MBA
Clinical Pharmacy Informaticist, Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, TX

Derek Rhodes, PharmD, BCPS
Manager, Pharmacy Informatics and Revenue Cycle, Prisma Health, Columbia SC

Sonya Zhan, PharmD, MSHI*
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA

*The findings and conclusions in this statement are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Disclosures

The authors have declared no potential conflicts of interest.

Additional information

Developed through the ASHP Section of Pharmacy Informatics and Technology and approved by the ASHP Board of Directors on DATE, 2024, and by the ASHP House of Delegates on DATE, 2024. Supersedes the ASHP Statement on the Use of Artificial Intelligence in Pharmacy dated May 20, 2020.

Acknowledgements

ASHP gratefully acknowledges Andrew Tang, PharmD Candidate, University of Colorado Skaggs School of Pharmacy, for his contributions to this statement. ASHP also gratefully acknowledges the following organizations and individuals for their review of draft versions of this statement (review does not imply endorsement): Baxter Healthcare Corporation; LogicStream Health; Mount Sinai Health System; QuVa Pharma; Ghalib Abbasi, PharmD, MS, MBA; Thomas Achey, PharmD, MS, BCPS; Nicole M. Acquisto, PharmD; Ellena Anagnostis, PharmD, MS, BCPS; Samantha Burton, PharmD, FISMP, CPHQ (Baxter); Hanh Chu, PharmD, MBA; Tony Dao, PharmD, EMBA, CPHIMS, CSSBB, LSSBB, PMC HI, FCPhA; Lynn Eschenbacher, PharmD, MBA, FASHP (LogicStream Health, QuVa Pharma); Jenny Lo-Ciganic, MSPHarm, MS, PhD; Georgia Luchen, PharmD; Benjamin Michaels, Pharm D, 340B-ACE; Hesham Mourad, PharmD, EMBA, BCPS, BCCCP, CPHIMS, FASHP; Scott Nelson, PharmD, MS; Casey Olsen, PharmD; Ellen Revak,

PharmD, MBA, BCPS; Grant Sivley, PharmD, BCPS; Tyler A. Vest, PharmD, MS, BCPS, BCSCP, FNCAP; Kong Kit Wong, PharmD, BCACP; Sawyer Wylie, PharmD, CSPI; and Kimberly Zammit, PharmD, MPH, BCPS, BCCCP, FASHP (Mount Sinai).

References

1. American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP). ASHP policy 2413, Role of Artificial Intelligence in Pharmacy Practice. In: ASHP Policy Positions 1982-2024. Accessed September 20, 2024. <https://www.ashp.org/-/media/assets/policy-guidelines/docs/browse-by-document-type-policy-positions-with-rationales-pdf>
2. Schutz N, Olsen CA, McLaughlin AJ et al. ASHP statement on the use of artificial intelligence in pharmacy. *Am J Health-Syst Pharm.* 2020;77:2015-2018.
3. Executive summary of the 2019 ASHP commission on goals: impact of artificial intelligence on healthcare and pharmacy practice. *Am J Health-Syst Pharm.* 2019;76:2087-2092.
4. Oxford Dictionaries, English. Definition of artificial intelligence. Accessed September 30, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1093/OED/3194963277>
5. Merriam-Webster Dictionaries, English. Definition of machine learning. Accessed December 18, 2023. <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/machine%20learning>
6. Merriam-Webster Dictionaries, English. Definition of large language model. Accessed December 18, 2023 <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/large%20language%20models>
7. Cain J, Malcom DR, Aungst TD. The role of artificial intelligence in the future of pharmacy education. *Am J Pharm Educ.* 2023;87:100135.
8. Stead WW. Clinical implications and challenges of artificial intelligence and deep learning. *JAMA.* 2018;320:1107-1108.
9. Nazer LH, Zatarah R, Waldrip S et al. Bias in artificial intelligence algorithms and recommendations for mitigation. *PLOS Digit Health.* 2023 Jun 22;2(6):e0000278.
10. DiPiro JT, Nesbit TW, Reuland C et al. ASHP Foundation Pharmacy Forecast 2023: Strategic Planning Guidance for Pharmacy Departments in Hospitals and Health Systems. *Am J Health-Syst Pharm.* 2023;80(2): 10–35.
11. Smoke S. Artificial intelligence in pharmacy: a guide for clinicians. *Am J Health-Syst Pharm.* 2024;81:641-646. doi: 10.1093/ajhp/zxae051_
12. Cortes D, Leung J, Ryl A et al. Pharmacy informatics: where medication use and technology meet. *Can J Hosp Pharm.* 2019;72:320-326.
13. Sarker IH. AI-based modeling: techniques, applications and research issues towards automation, intelligent and smart systems. *SN Comput Sci.* 2022;3(2):158.
14. Challen R, Denny J, Pitt M et al. Artificial intelligence, bias, and clinical safety. *BMJ Qual Saf.* 2019;28(3):231-237.
15. Nelson SD. Artificial intelligence and the future of pharmacy. *Am J Health-Syst Pharm.* 2024;81:83-84. doi: 10.1093/ajhp/zxad316
16. Fox BI, Flynn A, Clauson KA. An approach for all in pharmacy informatics education. *Am J Pharm Educ.* 2017;81:38.

17. Peng W, Feng Y, Yao C et al. Evaluating AI in medicine: a comparative analysis of expert and ChatGPT responses to colorectal cancer questions. *Sci Rep*. 2024;14:2840. doi:10.1038/s41598-024-52853-3
18. Raza MA, Aziz S, Noreen M et al. Artificial intelligence (AI) in pharmacy: an overview of innovations. *Innov Pharm*. 2022;13(2):10.
19. Yamada A, Kamagata K, Hirata K et al. Clinical applications of artificial intelligence in liver imaging. *Radiol Med*. 2023;128:655-667.
20. Salas M, Petracek J, Yalamanchili P et al. The use of artificial intelligence in pharmacovigilance: a systematic review of the literature. *Pharm Med*. 2022;36:295-306.
21. Nelson SD, Walsh CG, Olsen CA et al. Demystifying artificial intelligence in pharmacy. *Am J Health-Syst Pharm*. 2020;77:1556-1570.
22. Ranchon F, Chanoine S, Lambert-Lacroix S et al. Development of artificial intelligence powered apps and tools for clinical pharmacy services: a systematic review. *Int J Med Inform*. 2023;172:104983.
23. Budhwar P, Chowdhury S, Wood G et al. Human resource management in the age of generative artificial intelligence: perspectives and research directions on ChatGPT. *Human Res Manag J*. 2023;33:606–659. doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12524
24. Robinson MA, Belzberg M, Thakker S et al. Assessing the accuracy, usefulness, and readability of artificial-intelligence-generated responses to common dermatologic surgery questions for patient education: a double-blinded comparative study of ChatGPT and Google Bard. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2024;90:1078-1080. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2024.01.037
25. Yilmaz IBE, Doğan L. Talking technology: exploring chatbots as a tool for cataract patient education. *Clin Exp Optom*. Published online January 9, 2024. doi:10.1080/08164622.2023.2298812
26. Cole JD, Ruble MJ, Astle K et al. Integration of artificial intelligence in skills-based pharmacy courses. *AJPE* 2024;88(9):101016.
27. Edwards CJ, Erstad BL. Evaluation of a generative language model tool for writing examination questions. *AJPE* 2024;88(4):100684.
28. Abdel Aziz MH, Rowe C, Southwood R et al. A scoping review of artificial intelligence within pharmacy education. *APJE* 2024;88(1):100615.
29. Parikh RB, Teeple S, Navathe AS. Addressing bias in artificial intelligence in health care. *JAMA*. 2019;322(24):2377–2378. doi:10.1001/jama.2019.18058
30. IBM. What are AI hallucinations? Accessed May 10, 2024. <https://www.ibm.com/topics/ai-hallucinations>
31. Yaraghi N. Generative AI in health care: opportunities, challenges, and policy. *Health Affairs Forefront*. Published 2024 Jan 8. Accessed May 10, 2024. doi: 10.1377/forefront.20231229.503206
32. Joseph R. Biden, Jr. Executive Order 14110. Executive Order on Safe, Secure, and Trustworthy Development and Use of Artificial Intelligence. October 30, 2023. Accessed September 20, 2024. www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2023/10/30/executive-order-on-the-safe-secure-and-trustworthy-development-and-use-of-artificial-intelligence/

33. National Institute for Standards and Technology. AI Risk Management Framework. Accessed September 20, 2024. www.nist.gov/itl/ai-risk-management-framework
34. Saenz AD, Harned Z, Banerjee O et al. Autonomous AI systems in the face of liability, regulations, and costs. *npj Digit Med.* 6, 185 (2023). doi.org/10.1038/s41746-023-00929-1
35. Santalo O, Perez G, Lorich C et al. Defining key pharmacist and technician roles in response to a hospital downtime or cyberattack. *J Am Pharm Assoc* (2003). 2022;62(5):1518-1523. doi: 10.1016/j.japh.2022.03.027
36. World Health Organization (WHO). Ethics and governance of artificial intelligence for health: WHO guidance (2021). Accessed September 20, 2024. <https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/341996/9789240029200-eng.pdf>
37. U.S. Food & Drug Administration. Center for Devices & Radiological Health. Artificial Intelligence/Machine Learning (AI/ML)-Based Software as a Medical Device (SaMD) Action Plan (January 2021). Accessed September 20, 2024. www.fda.gov/media/145022/download
38. Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC). Health Data, Technology, and Interoperability: Certification Program Updates, Algorithm Transparency, and Information Sharing. 89 Fed. Reg. 1192 (Jan. 9, 2024). Accessed September 20, 2024. <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2024-01-09/pdf/2023-28857.pdf>
39. Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC). Trusted Exchange Framework and Common Agreement (TEFCA) (November 2023). Accessed September 20, 2024. https://www.healthit.gov/sites/default/files/page/2023-11/TEFCA_2-Page_Digital_508.pdf
40. Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC). Trusted Exchange Framework and Common Agreement (TEFCA). Accessed September 30, 2024. <https://www.healthit.gov/topic/interoperability/policy/trusted-exchange-framework-and-common-agreement-tefca>